

"THE KJV NEVER CHANGED."

Which KJV?

That question does not attack the Bible. It asks history to speak accurately.

1611 first printings

1629 Cambridge

1638 Cambridge

1762 Oxford

1769 Oxford

**MOST CHANGES WERE MINOR.
"NEVER" IS STILL TOO STRONG.**

**A STABLE TRANSLATION CAN HAVE AN EDITORIAL
HISTORY**



HISTORY WITHOUT SENSATIONALISM

Stable does not mean untouched.

1611 Separate printings already contained different printer errors.

1629 / 1638 Cambridge editions corrected errors and made editorial changes.

1762 / 1769 Spelling, punctuation, italics, references, and the commonly printed text were substantially standardized.

Today Oxford and Cambridge traditions can still differ at a small number of places, such as Ruth 3:15.

True: the KJV has remarkable continuity. Most post-1611 differences are minor.

Also true: limited wording changes and editorial variation exist. “Not a single textual change” is too absolute.

BOTTOM LINE

The KJV does not lose its value because printers and editors corrected and standardized it. But that same history cannot prove one untouched English form.

NEXT QUESTION

7 of 12 · Can one disputed verse carry the Trinity?



Tell the history plainly. The KJV can bear the truth.

Sources 4 and 30 in the full dossier



Visit us at
waycc.org



**FULL
SOURCES**